SINGLE BENCH

C.S. 100/-

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition NO. 1430 /2005

PETITIONER : Suryakant Shukla
S/o Late Bisahu Lal Shukla
Aged about 26 years
R/o. Nevera, Tahsil Kota
and District-Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1425/05
Presented by Shri Rakesh Shukla
dated 04.04.05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary
Co-operative society
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
04.04.05
CLERK to A.G. BILASPUR

2. The Registrar
Cooperative Society
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Collector
Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

4. General Manager
Jila Sahkari Kendriya Bank
Maryadit, Nehru Chowk, Bilaspur
(C.G.)

5. Chief Executive Officer
Jila Sahkari Kendriya Bank
Maryadit, Nehru Chowk
Bilaspur (C.G.)

Rs. 50/- 02/4/05

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR ANY OTHER FORM OR DIRECTION OR ORDER AS TO THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE.

638

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

25

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.1430/ **सन्** 2005

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	12-4-2005 Mr. Goutam Khetrapal, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Bhaskar Pyasi, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner who is the son of Late Bisahu Lal, who was working as Branch Manager, Jila Sahkari Kendriya Maryadit Bank, Lormi, has preferred this writ petition being aggrieved by the action of the respondents for not considering his case for appointment on compassionate ground, as his father died in harness on 8-6-2000 when he was working as Branch Manager. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the respondents and in turn they be directed to consider his case as the ban period on the ground on which the petitioner's compassionate appointment was not made, has already been lifted, to which learned Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation to respondent No.4 within two weeks from today raising all his grievances, and in turn, respondent No.4 is directed to consider the representation made by the petitioner and decide the same within a period of three months from the date of the receipt of the representation in an objective manner considering the grievances of the petitioner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.1102/2005 and I.A.No.2697/2005 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	